

United States Parent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS .P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/695,357	10/24/2000	Kumar Balachandran	8194-393	2727
20792	7590 09/07/2004		EXAM	INER
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			KUMAR, PANKAJ	
PO BOX 3742	28			
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2631	

DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/695,357	BALACHANDRAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Pankaj Kumar	2631			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but you within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS first cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/17/	/2004				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14-22,24-36 and 38-50</u> is/are pe	ending in the application				
ı	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>49</u> is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11,12,14-19,21,22,24-26,28,29,31-36,38-40,42,43,45-48 and 50 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,10,20,27,30,41 and 44</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No sived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	ary (PTO-413) Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2631

1. **DETAILED ACTION**

- 2. Response to Arguments
- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
 - 4. Response to Amendment
 - 5. Claim Rejections 35 USC § 102
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
- 7. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –
- 8. (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Barany et al. 6256486.
- 10. As per claim 50, a method of communication between a mobile terminal and a base station subsystem, comprising: assigning a control frequency to a cell in which the mobile terminal is located (Barany col. 14 last paragraph: "... control channels ... provide general information on a per base station basis ... including information employed for mobile units 20 to register in the system 10"); using the control frequency to exchange control information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem (Barany col. 14 last paragraph: control information for control frequencies; PCCCH includes PRACH for uplink mobile to base), the exchange of control information being constrained to the control frequency (Barany paragraph 4: "In one

Art Unit: 2631

embodiment, the base station 18 and mobile units 20 in each cell 14 are capable of communicating with two sets of carriers--a first set of carriers 26 for communicating circuit-switched traffic (e.g., speech data, short messaging services, and other circuitswitched data) and associated control signals; and a second set of carriers 28 for communicating packet-switched data traffic and associated control signals."); assigning a plurality of traffic frequencies to the cell in which the mobile terminal is located (Barany col. 15 lines 7-9: "... data traffic channels ... and associated traffic control channels ..." are assigned to the mobile - Barany col. 14 last paragraph), each of the plurality of traffic frequencies being associated with an equivalence class of frequencies (Barany figs. 2, 3, 10, 11: hopping between various frequencies while moving between coverage areas; these frequencies are in a hopping class such that any can be hopped to equivalently if conditions such as intereference, signal strength etc. are equivalent); randomly (Barany col. 14 lines 55-56: random access channel) selecting a frequency from each of the plurality of equivalence classes of frequencies (Barany col. 14 last paragraph; paragraph 64: "PAGCH is used to allocate a channel to a mobile unit 20 for signaling to obtain a dedicated channel following a request by the mobile unit 20 on PRACH."); and using the randomly selected frequencies to communicate traffic information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem (Barany col. 14 lines 57-58, 60-62: random access channel used to request access to system and allocating a channel to a mobile unit following a request on the random access channel)

Art Unit: 2631

11. Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- 13. A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. Claims 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 28, 29, 47, 48 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eswara in view of Almgren 6298081.
- 15. As per claim 1, a cellular communication system, comprising: a plurality of base station transceivers (Eswara fig. 1: 18, 20, 22, 24, 26); at least one base station controller (Eswara fig. 1: 16) that is configured to control the plurality of base station transceivers (Eswara fig. 1: 16 controls 18, 20, 22, 24, 26); and a cell group that comprises a plurality of cells (Eswara fig. 1: 28, 30) that are respectively associated with the plurality of base station transceivers (Eswara fig. 1: 18, 20) and with a plurality of primary frequencies, such that in each of the plurality of cells the respectively associated base station transceiver uses the respectively associated primary frequency to communicate control information (Eswara col. 1 lines 27-28: "control channel frequency is used for each sector."), communication of the control information being constrained to the respectively associated primary frequency (Eswara col. 1 lines 34-35; separate control channel for each sub-sector; Eswara col. 5 lines 38-41: "in an IS-54 compliant system ... beam will comprise an analog control channel and a small number of voice channels"; in order to be compliant with IS-54 requirements, Eswara will have one and thus primary analog control frequency), and uses coordinated frequency hopping over the plurality of primary

Art Unit: 2631

frequencies to communicate traffic information (Eswara col. 4 line 56 to col. 5 line 15: hopping between beacons A, B, C etc.).

- 16. Eswara does not teach frequency hopping based on a hopping sequence. Almgren 6298081 teaches frequency hopping based on a hopping sequence (Almgren abstract: "generates channel hopping sequences that are transmitted via a control channel"). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Eswara with Almgren's frequency hopping based on a hopping sequence. One would be motivated to do so for the reasons taught in Almgren col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 10 such as to optimize the use of channels.
- 17. As per claim 2, the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 1, wherein the coordinated frequency hopping is cyclical (Eswara col. 4 line 56 to col. 5 line 15: it is cyclical since as a user moves between beacons, they can only move cyclically with respect to the beacons and thus the beacons will be hopped to cyclically).
- 18. As per claim 3, the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 1, wherein the coordinated frequency hopping is random (Eswara col. 4 line 56 to col. 5 line 15: it is random since it is based on signal strength which is random).
- 19. As per claim 6, the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 1, wherein frequencies associated with an auxiliary cellular communication system coexist within a same bandwidth defined by the plurality of primary frequencies (Eswara fig. 4: U/X exists in the same bandwidth as A, B, C, D).
- 20. As per claim 9, a cellular communication system, comprising: a base station subsystem; and a mobile terminal that is configured to use a control frequency to exchange control information between the mobile terminal and the base station

Art Unit: 2631

subsystem, the exchange of control information being constrained to the control frequency, and is configured to use coordinated frequency hopping over a plurality of traffic frequencies to exchange traffic information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem (discussed with Eswara).

- 21. What Eswara does not teach is wherein the base station subsystem is configured to transmit a hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency.

 Almgren 6298081 teaches wherein the base station subsystem is configured to transmit a hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency (Almgren abstract: "channel allocation means within the base station generates channel hopping sequences that are transmitted via a control channel (SACCH) to hopping sequence lists (204-206) in the mobile stations (MS1-MS3)"). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Eswara with Almgren's teachings. One would be motivated to do so for the reasons taught in Almgren col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 10 such as to optimize the use of channels.
- 22. As per claim 11, the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 9, wherein the coordinated frequency hopping is cyclical (discussed with Eswara).
- 23. As per claim 12, the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 9, wherein the coordinated frequency hopping is random (discussed with Eswara).
- 24. Claim 13 is cancelled.
- 25. As per claim 14, the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 9, wherein the plurality of traffic frequencies and the control frequency are mutually exclusive (Eswara: control frequencies only for control and traffic frequencies only for

Art Unit: 2631

traffic and thus they are mutually exclusive; paragraph 7: control channel "provides the system identity").

- 26. As per claim 16, the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 9, wherein frequencies associated with an auxiliary cellular communication system coexist within a same bandwidth defined by the plurality of traffic frequencies. (discussed with Eswara)
- 27. As per claim 18, the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 9, wherein the plurality of traffic frequencies comprise the control frequency (discussed with Eswara).
- 28. As per claim 19, Eswara teaches a method of communication between a mobile terminal and a base station subsystem, comprising: assigning a control frequency to a cell in which the mobile terminal is located; using the control frequency to exchange control information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem (discussed up to here with Eswara), the exchange of control information being constrained to the control frequency (Eswara has control information only going through the control channels); assigning a plurality of traffic frequencies to the cell in which the mobile terminal is located; and using coordinated frequency hopping over the plurality of traffic frequencies to exchange traffic information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem (remainder discussed with Eswara).
- 29. What Eswara does not teach is transmitting a hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency. Almgren 6298081 teaches transmitting a hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency (Almgren abstract: "channel allocation means within the base station generates channel hopping sequences that are

Art Unit: 2631

transmitted via a control channel (SACCH) to hopping sequence lists (204-206) in the mobile stations (MS1-MS3)"). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Eswara with Almgren's teachings. One would be motivated to do so for the reasons taught in Almgren col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 10 such as to optimize the use of channels.

- 30. As per claim 21, the method as recited in Claim 19, wherein the coordinated frequency hopping is cyclical (discussed with Eswara).
- 31. As per claim 22, the method as recited in Claim 19, wherein the coordinated frequency hopping is random (discussed with Eswara).
- 32. Claim 23 is cancelled.
- As per claim 24, the method as recited in Claim 19, wherein transmitting the hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency comprises: transmitting the hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using a primary packet broadcast control channel (PBCCH), which is defined by the control frequency and at least one time slot (Eswara col. 1 lines 62-64: "One of the functions provided by the DCCH is to relay ... channel allocations ..."; frequency to hop to is determined based on signal strength; col. 2 lines 10-15: "in a TDMA ... cellular system, begins transmitting on a traffic channel ... followed by a start measurement ... on the ... control channel").
- 34. As per claim 25, the method as recited in Claim 19, wherein the plurality of traffic frequencies and the control frequency are mutually exclusive (discussed with Eswara).
- 35. As per claim 28, the method as recited in Claim 19, wherein frequencies associated with an auxiliary cellular communication system coexist within a same bandwidth defined by the plurality of traffic frequencies (discussed with Eswara).

Art Unit: 2631

- 36. As per claim 29, the method as recited in Claim 28, wherein the traffic frequencies are non-contiguous and are each separated, one from another, by at least one of the frequencies associated with the auxiliary cellular communication system (discussed with Eswara).
- 37. As per claim 47, a cellular communication system, comprising: a plurality of base station transceivers; at least one base station controller that is configured to control the plurality of base station transceivers; and a cell group that comprises a plurality of cells that are respectively associated with the plurality of base station transceivers and with a plurality of control frequencies, such that in each of the plurality of cells the respectively associated base station transceiver uses the respectively associated control frequency to communicate control information, communication of the control information being constrained to the respectively associated control frequency, and uses coordinated frequency hopping over the plurality of traffic frequencies to communicate traffic information, the plurality of control frequencies and the plurality of traffic frequencies being mutually exclusive. (discussed with Eswara)
- Eswara does not teach frequency hopping based on a hopping sequence. Almgren 6298081 teaches frequency hopping based on a hopping sequence (Almgren abstract: "generates channel hopping sequences that are transmitted via a control channel"). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Eswara with Almgren's frequency hopping based on a hopping sequence. One would be motivated to do so for the reasons taught in Almgren col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 10 such as to optimize the use of channels.

Art Unit: 2631

39. As per claim 48, a cellular communication system, comprising: a base station subsystem; and a mobile terminal that is configured to use a control frequency to exchange control information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem, the exchange of control information being constrained to the control frequency, and is configured to use coordinated frequency hopping over a plurality of traffic frequencies to exchange traffic information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem; wherein frequencies associated with an auxiliary cellular communication system coexist within a same bandwidth defined by the plurality of traffic frequencies. (discussed with Eswara)

- Eswara does not teach frequency hopping based on a hopping sequence. Almgren 6298081 teaches frequency hopping based on a hopping sequence (Almgren abstract: "generates channel hopping sequences that are transmitted via a control channel"). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Eswara with Almgren's frequency hopping based on a hopping sequence. One would be motivated to do so for the reasons taught in Almgren col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 10 such as to optimize the use of channels.
- 41. Claims 5, 7, 15, 17, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eswara in view of Almgren.
- 42. (103) As per claim 5, Eswara in view of Almgren teaches the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 1. What Eswara in view of Almgren does not teach is wherein the primary frequencies are non-contiguous. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Eswara in view of Almgren

Art Unit: 2631

to teach that the primary frequencies are non-contiguous. One would be motivated to do so since, when determining signal strengths, non-contiguous frequencies would have signal strength differences that are more pronounced and thus it would be easier to know what frequency to switch to that if frequencies were adjacent.

- 43. (103) As per claim 7, Eswara in view of Almgren teaches the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 6, wherein the primary frequencies are non-contiguous and are each separated, one from another, by at least one of the frequencies associated with the auxiliary cellular communication system (Eswara fig. 4: U/X exists in the same bandwidth as A, B, C, D). What Eswara in view of Almgren does not teach is that the primary frequencies are non-contiguous. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Eswara in view of Almgren to teach that the primary frequencies are non-contiguous. One would be motivated to do so since, when determining signal strengths, non-contiguous frequencies would have signal strength differences that are more pronounced and thus it would be easier to know what frequency to switch to that if frequencies were adjacent.
- 44. (103) As per claim 15, Eswara in view of Almgren teaches the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 9, wherein the traffic frequencies are non-contiguous. (discussed with Eswara)
- 45. (103) As per claim 17, Eswara in view of Almgren teaches the cellular communication system as recited in Claim 16, wherein the traffic frequencies are non-contiguous and are each separated, one from another, by at least one of the frequencies associated with the auxiliary cellular communication system. (discussed with Eswara)

Art Unit: 2631

46. (103) As per claim 26, Eswara in view of Almgren teaches the method as recited in Claim 19, wherein the traffic frequencies are non-contiguous (discussed with Eswara).

- 47. Claims 19, 31, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barany in view of Almgren 6298081.
- 48. As per claim 19, Barany teaches a method of communication between a mobile terminal and a base station subsystem, comprising: assigning a control frequency to a cell in which the mobile terminal is located (Barany col. 14 last paragraph: "... control channels ... provide general information on a per base station basis ..."); using the control frequency to exchange control information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem, the exchange of control information being constrained to the control frequency (Barany col. 14 last paragraph: control information for control frequencies inherently inefficient if duplicated in other frequencies); assigning a plurality of traffic frequencies to the cell in which the mobile terminal is located (Barany col. 15 lines 7-9: "... data traffic channels ... and associated traffic control channels ..."); and using coordinated frequency hopping over the plurality of traffic frequencies to exchange traffic information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem (Barany figs. 2, 3, 10, 11: hopping between various frequencies while moving between coverage areas).
- 49. What Barany does not teach is transmitting a hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency. Almgren 6298081 teaches is transmitting a hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency (Almgren abstract: "channel allocation means within the base station generates channel hopping sequences

Art Unit: 2631

that are transmitted via a control channel (SACCH) to hopping sequence lists (204-206) in the mobile stations (MS1-MS3)"). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Barany with Almgren's teachings. One would be motivated to do so for the reasons taught in Almgren col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 10 such as to optimize the use of channels.

- 50. As per claim 31, the method as recited in Claim 19, wherein each of the plurality of traffic frequencies is associated with an equivalence class of frequencies and wherein using coordinated frequency hopping over the plurality of traffic frequencies to exchange traffic information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem comprises (preamble is not afforded patentable weight): randomly (Barany col. 14 lines 55-56: random access channel) selecting a frequency from each of the plurality of equivalence classes of frequencies (Barany col. 14 last paragraph; paragraph 64: "PAGCH is used to allocate a channel to a mobile unit 20 for signaling to obtain a dedicated channel following a request by the mobile unit 20 on PRACH."); and using the randomly selected frequencies to communicate traffic information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem (Barany col. 14 lines 57-58, 60-62: random access channel used to request access to system and allocating a channel to a mobile unit following a request on the random access channel).
- 51. As per claim 32, Barany teaches the method as recited in Claim 19 wherein the plurality of traffic frequencies comprise the control frequency (Barany paragraph 4: "In one embodiment, the base station 18 and mobile units 20 in each cell 14 are capable of communicating with two sets of carriers—a first set of carriers 26 for communicating circuit—switched traffic (e.g., speech data, short messaging services, and other circuit—

Art Unit: 2631

switched data) and associated control signals; and a second set of carriers 28 for communicating packet-switched data traffic and associated control signals.")

- 52. Claims 8, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 45, 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eswara in view of Almgren and further in view of Barany 6,256,486.
- (103) As per claim 8, Eswara in view of Almgren teaches the cellular 53. communication system as recited in Claim 1. What Eswara in view of Almgren does not teach is a global positioning system (GPS) satellite that communicates with the plurality of base station transceivers to synchronize the cellular communication system. What Barany 6256486 teaches is a global positioning system (GPS) satellite that communicates with the plurality of base station transceivers to synchronize the cellular communication system. (Barany paragraph 56: "To enable the creation of time groups so that they can be allocated among sectors of each cluster (100, 101, or 130) to provide higher effective channel reuse, the base stations 18 are time synchronized with each other. This may be performed by using a global positioning system (GPS) timing receiver or some other synchronization circuit 19 (FIG. 1) in each base station 18. Synchronization of the base station 18 is employed to ensure alignment of the time groups in the cell sectors. Base station synchronization is carried out such that the following two criteria are satisfied.") It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Eswara with the teaching of Barany for the motivation provided in Barany.
- 54. (103) As per claim 33, a computer program product for facilitating communication between a mobile terminal and a base station subsystem, comprising: a

Art Unit: 2631

computer readable storage medium having computer readable program code embodied therein, the computer readable program code comprising: computer readable program code for assigning a control frequency to a cell in which the mobile terminal is located; computer readable program code for using the control frequency to exchange control information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem, the exchange of control information being constrained to the control frequency; computer readable program code for assigning a plurality of traffic frequencies to the cell in which the mobile terminal is located; and computer readable program code for using coordinated frequency hopping over the plurality of traffic frequencies to exchange traffic information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem. Eswara teaches the above limitations as discussed with respect to other claims except for computer programming. Barany teaches computer programming in paragraph 58: "The control unit 58 may be implemented with computer systems, processors, and other control devices." Barany also teaches software routines in fig. 9: 76. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify Eswara in view of Almgren (discussed below) with computer programming. One would be motivated to do so for efficiency.

What Eswara does not teach is transmitting a hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency. Almgren 6298081 teaches is transmitting a hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency (Almgren abstract: "channel allocation means within the base station generates channel hopping sequences that are transmitted via a control channel (SACCH) to hopping sequence lists (204-206) in the mobile stations (MS1-MS3)"). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art

Art Unit: 2631

at the time of the invention to modify Eswara with Almgren's teachings. One would be motivated to do so for the reasons taught in Almgren col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 10 such as to optimize the use of channels.

- 56. (103) As per claim 34, the computer program product as recited in Claim 33, wherein the control information is exchanged during predefined control time slots and the traffic information is exchanged during predefined traffic time slots and at least one idle time slot separates at least one of the predefined control time slots from at least one of the predefined traffic time slots, which are associated with different frequencies. (discussed with Eswara and Eswara in view of Barany)
- 57. (103) As per claim 35, the computer program product as recited in Claim 33, wherein the coordinated frequency hopping is cyclical (discussed with Eswara and Eswara in view of Barany).
- 58. (103) As per claim 36, the computer program product as recited in Claim 33, wherein the coordinated frequency hopping is random (discussed with Eswara and Eswara in view of Barany).
- 59. (103) As per claim 37, the computer program product as recited in Claim 33, further comprising: computer readable program code for transmitting a hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency. (discussed with Eswara and Eswara in view of Barany)
- 60. (103) As per claim 38, the computer program product as recited in Claim 37, wherein the computer readable program code for transmitting the hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using the control frequency comprises: computer readable program code for transmitting the hopping sequence to the mobile terminal using a primary packet

Art Unit: 2631

broadcast control channel (PBCCH), which is defined by the control frequency and at least one time slot (discussed with Eswara and Eswara in view of Barany).

- 61. (103) As per claim 39, the computer program product as recited in Claim 33, wherein the plurality of traffic frequencies and the control frequency are mutually exclusive (discussed with Eswara and Eswara in view of Barany).
- 62. (103) As per claim 40, the computer program product as recited in Claim 33, wherein the traffic frequencies are non-contiguous (discussed with Eswara and Eswara in view of Barany).
- 63. (103) As per claim 42, the computer program product as recited in Claim 33, wherein frequencies associated with an auxiliary cellular communication system coexist within a same bandwidth defined by the plurality of traffic frequencies (discussed with Eswara and Eswara in view of Barany).
- 64. (103) As per claim 43, the computer program product as recited in Claim 42, wherein the traffic frequencies are non-contiguous and are each separated, one from another, by at least one of the frequencies associated with the auxiliary cellular communication system (discussed with Eswara and Eswara in view of Barany).
- As per claim 45, the computer program product as recited in Claim 33, wherein each of the plurality of traffic frequencies is associated with an equivalence class of frequencies and wherein the computer readable program code for using coordinated frequency hopping over the plurality of traffic frequencies to exchange traffic information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem comprises: computer readable program code for randomly selecting a frequency from each of the plurality of equivalence classes of frequencies; and computer readable program code for

Art Unit: 2631

using the randomly selected frequencies to communicate traffic information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem. (discussed with respect to Eswara in view of Almgren and further in view of Barany)

66. (103) As per claim 46, the computer program product as recited in Claim 33, wherein the plurality of traffic frequencies comprise the control frequency. (discussed with Eswara and Barany such as Barany paragraph 4: "In one embodiment, the base station 18 and mobile units 20 in each cell 14 are capable of communicating with two sets of carriers—a first set of carriers 26 for communicating circuit-switched traffic (e.g., speech data, short messaging services, and other circuit-switched data) and associated control signals; and a second set of carriers 28 for communicating packet-switched data traffic and associated control signals.")

67. Allowable Subject Matter

- 68. Claims 4, 10, 20, 27, 30, 41, 44 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 69. Claim 49 is allowed.
- 70. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The art of record does not suggest the respective claim combinations together and nor would the respective claim combinations be obvious for claim 49 with:
- 71. assigning an alternative control frequency to the cell in which the mobile terminal is located; using the alternative control frequency to exchange control information between the mobile terminal and the base station subsystem, the exchange

Art Unit: 2631

of control information being constrained to the alternative control frequency;

assigning a plurality of alternative traffic frequencies to the cell in which the mobile

terminal is located; and using coordinated frequency hopping over the plurality of

alternative traffic frequencies to exchange traffic information between the mobile

terminal and the base station subsystem.

72. Conclusion

- 73. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2631

- 75. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pankaj Kumar whose telephone number is (571) 272-3011. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Wed and Thurs 8AM to after 6:30PM.
- 76. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad H. Ghayour can be reached on (571) 272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 77. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

78.

80. PK

79.

MOHAMMED CHAYOUR